

of light). In substantial space, "c" is the limit of flow of space as well as transmission of potentials. And the very nature of light is such that observers in relative motion will measure the same value c. Hence, c is "non-additive" (as you mention in TNUFT).

Prior to creation of matter, the universal space is a conscious entity, which as I said in my earlier letter, is CHID-AAKAASH of the Vedas, FORMLESS ALLAH of Islam and SPIRIT of Christianity. An individual soul possibly, an independent spinning vortex (at speed less than c) in the universal ocean of space] is not yet created. Consequent to the creation of the universal matter, individual souls with material enclosures are born as the living beings. Man is their higher evolution. Since he is in complete continuity with the universal consciousness, it is possible that through mass prayers to the Overself, natural happenings can be controlled.

The individual consciousness is derived from the universal consciousness, and part of the universal consciousness transforms into cosmic energy and matter. Man, a combination of the universal consciousness and universal matter is thus of Divine origin. Through his individual consciousness, which spreads out throughout the universe due to continuity of space, he is in a position to influence the consciousness of other living beings (at least higher forms of life like birds, animals, man) to some extent. His intense desire to make an event to take place, may materialize it through the help of other conscious beings.

But most of these processes take place with spiritual forces, as demonstrated by some saints, sages, the Prophets and Incarnations. [Shri Sathya Sai Baba is demonstrating such phenomena, that transcend physical sciences, for all to witness right since his birth(1926)]. But, for an individual to directly influence the experimental results from inert experimental set ups is something that can not be fathomed through physical sciences but, perhaps, feasible through spiritual forces.

Your prediction on fuel-less technology, partly if not wholly, and neutralization of radioactive waste will certainly come true.

Since your theory inquires into the true nature of light, which is the only absolute property that the universe possesses in the vast primordial volume of the substantial space, basically, it has truth in it.

Best wishes,

Paramahansa

From: Adam Trombly [mailto:iasa@rof.net]

Sent: Friday, June 02, 2006 12:32 AM

To: 'David Crockett Williams'

Subject: RE: New Age Energy Technologies to replace nuclear and fossil fuel power, Santa Barbara Weekly on Bruce DePalma and The N-Machine.

David,

Really, Tewari is such a disingenuous man. The truth is that if Tewari had not copied (in the crudest sense of the word) our first machine he would have never been known at all. Tewari gives Depalma credit (Depalma should be given credit as a popularizer) but would just love to pretend that his first three papers regarding this technology were not about facsimiles of Joe and my machine. Frankly, I tire of his antics and I feel it is time to say something. I was thrilled when I first heard of Tewari's experimental confirmation of our claims in 1986. But as soon as he tried to publish his second paper with Les Adams' publication "Magnets" he failed to cite our work until I demanded it.

I still have his letter of acquiescence to Les.

I went on to co-invent six further generations of devices that demonstrated the actual principles. In 1989 a former colleague and I physically demonstrated a solid state ZP device in both New York and

Washington D.C. Our presentation at Dag Hammarskjold Auditorium received a 20 minute standing ovation but the press about the demonstration and speech that followed was totally suppressed.

We physically demonstrated over 50 times greater output than input but you will not find any acknowledgment of that on any of the so called Free Energy websites which presume authority.

In 2000 we demonstrated a subset of the same device in San Francisco. Hal Puthoff initially said, "Where do you think the extra electrical energy comes from? I'm a ZP man myself! Wow what is so cool about this Adam is there are more and Amps and more Volts coming out of this thing! That is really significant!" The other witnesses agreed. Then Hal left the room and returned with a Rube Goldberg box which was made in his lab in Texas but for which he had no information. He could not even tell us what actual measurement it did or the relevant algorithm. He just hooked it up and reversed himself saying, "Well you are not quite there yet. " The other measurements that had confirmed the actual performance had been done on a beautiful Sony/Tektronix Scope which had been newly calibrated and was certified as such.

Yet this man who had promised that his backers would provide one billion dollars in initial funding for a demonstrable technology in front of several witnesses at the State of the World Forum in New York a few weeks before threw out one very real chance for the future of humanity based on a measurement done by an unknown machine with an unknown algorithm, which he could not explain at all!

We have to get hip to which individuals are the real obstructors of these technologies !

Puthoff later wrote me an email in which he asked me not to "think of me as the enemy." I don't. I still remember the youthful and excited look he had on his face when he saw the device work and confirmed the measurements on the real scope. I saw something good in Hal at that moment. I do not know what the powers that obstruct have on this man that could cause him to betray his own soul but it must be a humdinger ! I will just remember the beautiful look on his face and pray that one day he rediscovers his integrity. In the mean time we have no time for all of this garbage. We have shown for over two decades now that ZP works! There were some who came before us like Ed Gray who warned me before he died not to let his Navy handler (and mine) ruin my life's work the way his had been ruined. He was a really good man who ended up designing weapons you still have not seen in Janes, but which I saw in 1983.

There are so many stories. I loved Bruce for defending Joe and my work against the likes of Tom Vallone. Who is this guy? That is the question I asked Hans Neiper in 1980 at the First International Tachyon Field Energy Conference in Germany. He could not really tell me. But Vallone was quick to criticize me for spending too much money instead of doing really mediocre work!

By 1989 the ZP field had been so infiltrated by people from corporations like Lockheed, Ford Aerospace, spooks, bad copiers and mediocre pretenders that seized control of the conferences and undermined the field. What have any of them ever really done?

I could tell many, many stories but some how we all need to pray that someone or some group is finally successful in acquiring the kind of support that is really need to commercialize ZP and help pull this species out of its current nose dive into the abyss.

Adam Douglass Trombly

Santa Barbara, California

Proponents of DePalma's machine, believe the US government, to protect the energy industry, has suppressed widespread application of "N" type technologies.

"The same ethic of materialism that stopped Tesla's 'free energy' technologies in the early years of the 20th century, is today visibly responsible for wars, hunger, poverty, homelessness, pollution, and the prostitution of the American scientific community resulting in the completely insane endangerment of

Violation of the Lenz's law is shown theoretically possible in SVT. And, I have built electromagnetic machines that exceed 100% efficiency. But, under the existing physics, it is not possible to see as to how in a machine the output can exceed the input. It can not in thermodynamics; but can violate the Lenz's law in an electromagnet machine by reducing reaction on the prime mover. The fault lies in equating the energy conservation law with the Lenz's law—a mistake done in the 19th century.

In SVT two basic equations on electron- mass and electron-charge are derived showing the interrelationship between matter with space. If there is no matter, yet all the energy of the universe stays in dynamic space. But, if there is no reality of space, matter can not be created. Such a conclusion can be drawn only by a theory that reveals the very process of creation of electron (with all its properties of mass, charge, inertia, fields) and matter at large. I am not aware if any such theory exists. I wish some one takes note of these ideas rather than ignoring facts.

Best wishes,

Paramahansa

From: P Tewari <ptewari1@sancharnet.in>
To: David Crockett Williams <gear2000@g.light-speed.net>
Subject: Tetron Theory
Date: Saturday, October 25, 2003 3:58 PM

Dear David,

In your Tetron Theory [TNUFT] you conclude, "how everything is related according to the very nature of light". Your conclusion is perfectly correct, though I reach it under a somewhat different system of logic through space vortex theory (SVT).

The true nature of light, which you also inquire into as the very basic concept in INFUT, has not yet been grasped by the modern theories wave-quanta nature). The pre-relativity concept of light as a "wave motion in fluid ether" is nearer to the truth. In the Newtonian empty space (without a fluid ether), corpuscular nature of light was the only possibility, because how can there be a wave without a real fluid medium!

But, since in the relativity theory (1905), the medium of space is a void extension, here again like Newton's corpuscles of light, Einstein too had no other go but to imagine particle-like photon. The errors in the explanation of the photo electric effect were brought out in my previous note. Thus, the very concept of photon possessing mass, momentum, spin, kinetic energy etc., is erroneous, making all those theories that rest on these concepts far from truth.

Now on the wave nature of light, the question arises: "wave of what". It is now simpler to answer this question taking the example of the light-pulse produced in the process of annihilation of electron/positron [discovered in 1935?]. [There is an article "Conceptual Errors on the Nature of Light.....", at www.tewari.org, that discusses at length the modern misconception on the nature of light]. It is concluded in SVT that consequent to the annihilation of an electron and a positron, a spherical shell of light, in which the radial width of the shell, equal to the electron's radius (radius of the central void in electron's space vortex), is the wave length, is produced and transmitted at speed c relative to the fluid medium of space.

As the shell of light is transmitted out, the gravitational and electric potentials in the fields of the annihilated particles collapse to zero within the shell. Light is produced at a point in space due to time varying potentials at that point caused due to motion/vibration/ acceleration of electrons/atoms.

This idea that there is an enormous energy field in "space" or what now physicists since the 80's and 90's have been calling the "quantum vacuum flux" or "zero point energy" with various attempts to theoretically understand and mathematically describe these ideas, are what DePalma's experiments, including the last one, the n-Machine, prove exists as what he called the "free energy of space" and what Tewari says his Space Vortex Theory explains. This proven by the performance of his overall overunity Space Power Generator version of DePalma's n-Machine.

Those first tests in Summer of 1979 of that n-Machine made by the Sunburst Community, which they called the S-Machine, did not show "overall overunity" performance (more total output power than the power to drive the generator with a motor) like Tewari's later models in India have shown, but the 1979 tests, reproduced in 1986 by Dr. Kincheloe of Stanford independently, showed an equally unexplainable by conventional "laws of physics" incremental overunity effect where at a given generator speed an increase of drive motor current produced from five to twenty times that amount in output current increase, but due to engineering that machine has not shown, yet, at its rotation speed design limits, to produce "overall overunity" performance. This is the context to know in reading the below to understand how significant a blow to this movement was this mistaken and negative report in the newspaper by a "physics expert."

After this article came out I went and personally spoke with Dr. Hone, also there was another article written about this time frame from the UCSB newspaper, and he just said he was misquoted, but he did not make any effort to correct his slander that DePalma's work was a hoax. In normal circles DePalma would have won a big lawsuit against Hone and UCSB for libel, but in this arena of forefront science this has been the way it has gone because the public in general is not at all confident or competent in its understanding of fundamentals of science such as this and feel compelled to rely on the view of "the experts" who as below are often just plan wrong by intent or ignorance. They claim they are misquoted, but take no responsibility for getting the real truth out there once they figure out they made a mistake, if it was a mistake and not by intent to discredit some of the most important work in science in the 20th Century.

The Sunburst Community founder Norman Paulsen still has that 1979 test machine in Santa Barbara area under auspices of Solar Logos Foundation, Sunburst Church of Self-Realization. <http://www.solarlogosfoundation.org>.

See also the work of Thomas Bearden on the solid state MEG oscillating electromagnetic system, Magnetic Energy Generator: <http://www.cheniere.org>

Bruce DePalma's earlier experiments, showing anomalous inertial and gravitational effects of rotating and rotating-and-precressing systems convinced him '72-78 of not only the existence of, but the properties of what he termed an "energy field that exists in space itself, the space in which matter resides." The results with which analysis and contemplation led to his literal "Eureka moment" jumping out of the bathtub to write a drawing in March 1977 which he circulated predicting if the flywheel were magnetized, energy could be extracted from this energy field as electricity flowing from center to edge of the rotating magnetized flywheel. At that time the knowledge of Faraday's homopolar/unipolar motor/generator effect observations as per his diary entries Christmas time 1831 was not known by DePalma or taught at all in schools.

In 1978 someone in Santa Barbara familiar with DePalma's previous work in electronic engineering audio circuit designs published in hi-fi electronics magazines, prompted a sound man for the Grateful Dead music band to read that paper predicting the n-Machine as an "overunity homopolar generator" (term not used or known then circulated about 1980 by DePalma, about two years after his prediction).

A community in Santa Barbara brought DePalma and Ed Delvers there to test this work of theirs and Bruce's prediction, which they did first with a device glued together and spun in a hand-drill. Qualitative proof was demonstrated on a VTVM meter with needle probes at the axle and the edge of steel disc cemented to a ring magnet from a loudspeaker. In Summer 1979 they tested a large rotating

Interestingly, calculations with the vortex structure model [svt] of a metallic sodium atom show [in the above cited works] that an outer-orbit electron possesses kinetic energy nearly the same as those of the photo electrons released and measured experimentally.

And coincidentally, this kinetic energy is very close to "hf in Planck's energy equation: $E = hf$ — for the frequency that released photo electrons. As is well known, "hf" is the energy accumulated in unit time [calling for a storing mechanism] and yet transferred to a photoelectron instantly as per Einstein ! !

So, the error is this: The kinetic energy of the photo electrons measured experimentally was already possessed by these particles while rotating in their orbits with the atoms. Interaction of light does not transfer any energy to the photo electrons. Light only triggers release of the orbiting electrons [explained in SVT].

Therefore, the photon concept itself is an error. Einstein's argument that light is not only released in a quanta of hf (Planck) but also transmitted in a bundle is erroneous.

It is surprising to note that Compton, too, in 1923 did not take note of the kinetic energy that an electron in an atom might possess when, by that time, the orbiting velocity of the electrons must have been well known. By neglecting in his experiment the initial kinetic energy of the electron before encounter with light pulse (X-ray), his own conclusion of particle-like photon is in error. [After all how does one know that the interaction of the X-ray in Compton's experiment is with a free-electron or with the one tied in its atomic orbit]. Further, a deeper insight on the nature of mass [SVT] reveals that light can not possess the property of mass. Hence, assigning mass and momentum to photon which itself does not have a real existence, is a further error.

Now, if photon is a non-existent entity, how can there be wave particle duality? How can there be DeBroglie's wave particle equations? And so also wave mechanics based on DeBroglie's findings become questionable.

Planck's Constant, derived in SVT, is intrinsic angular momentum of electron: $(\frac{4}{5} \text{ electron mass}) \times (\text{velocity of light}) \times (\text{electron radius})$, where the electron radius is the radius of the central void in electron's vortex structure. Using Planck's constant in the uncertainty principle is not relevant.

I am aware that the highly complex principles of the quantum physics can not be critically examined in a short note like this. But if the above referred works are seen, the errors at the very foundation of the quantum theory become more than evident. In any case the uncertainty principle is not the principle to last, like the mass-energy equation, light-speed as the universal constant, and the light-speed as the limiting speed in the universe.

Introduction of empty space in place of ether also introduced voidness around the nucleus, thereby removing the vortex concept of the atom [late 19th century.] This led to very many complexities in the development of the atom structure.

Best wishes,

Paramahansa

PS For brevity, I have not mentioned here Bohr's atom and the space vortex structure of atoms; production of light by oscillating atoms. These are dealt with in detail in the above referred works with the conclusion that the contribution of the orbital electrons in the production of light is insignificant. And also, the orbital electrons do not lose energy to fall closer to the nucleus because the electron's space vortex and the space vortex enclosing the nucleus constantly interact to keep them a fixed distance apart. On your question on the mass energy equation, the same has been derived in SVT from the vortex structure of electron. <http://www.tewari.org>

From: P Tewari <[ptewari_1\(g\).sancharnet.in](mailto:ptewari_1(g).sancharnet.in)>

To: Mark Gubrud <mgubrud@squid.umd.edu>

De PALMA INSTITUTE
1060 CHANNEL DRIVE SANTA BARBARA CALIFORNIA 93108
(805) 969-6442

22 July 1988

Dr* Robert Sohreiffer
Institute for Theoretical Physios
UCSB Santa Barbara, GA 93106

Dear Dr. Sohreiffer.

After the interesting experience of our initial meeting last week, I am following up on your request for a proposal for a meeting or seminar with the members of your department. At the present moment I am preparing a talk: "Studies on Rotation leading to the N machine", which I will be presenting to the annual meeting of the International Teala Society held in Colorado Springs, Colorado, July 29, 30, and 31.

I would be happy to present this lecture to your department. It is illustrated with about 80 slides of the various experiments I have performed on rotating objects.

The mechanical properties which distinguish rotation from non-rotation have implications which affect the basic structure of mathematics. Going back to the work of Leonhard Euler and the mathematician/physicists of the 19th century who were interested in magnetism and who sought a suitable treatment for the species of motion known as "motion of rigid bodies having exactly one point fixed"»

In ignorance of the physical facts the mathematicians of that day tried to show that a commutative treatment for this type of motion, "triaxial" motion cannot exist. The areas of physical theory which incorporate the mathematical errors of the 1750-1850 century in the de precession-nutation theory which is applied to everything from Larmor precession of electrons to planetary wobbles. Other extensions which are equally profound are applied to the understanding of alternating current theory, and the rotation of polarised light.

The warning of Sine in that the attributes of substance which are called inertial mass and gravitational mass, are distinct from each other, and that any assumption of their equality was suspect until verified by definitive experiment, has been ignored»

My measurements of the elastic collision of the rotating object with the non-rotating identical control, as well as the elastic collision of the rotating and precessing object with the identical non-rotating control object have shown directly the variability and inertial anisotropy of the rotating real material object.

«Variable inertia, and variability of inertial mass*»

do not recognize that the SVT supports the Einstein's postulate on the constancy of light speed and also its being the limiting speed. Only time dilation is not supported. Einstein is also supported for his mass energy equation [by deriving this equation from the electron structure] and his strong belief in deterministic approach in contrast with the quantum physics' indeterminacy and the uncertainty principle.

I doubt if the main-stream physicists have ever read my works. Their criticism is on hearsay. Some researchers of physical theories have had prolonged discussions with me on the founding principles of the SVT and appreciated its uniqueness [electron's space vortex structure with a central field-less void, new equations and definitions on mass and charge, derivation of earth's surface gravity, deriving all the universal constants with a single universal constant — the speed of light, continuous creation of cosmic matter at the center of the sun and the galactic center, etc.]

Theory apart, we are interested in knowing with certainty whether over unity efficiency is ever possible. In this regard, as I wrote to you, by this year end a SPG in tens of kilowatts range should be ready and tested.

The companies who have undertaken this work wish to remain anonymous for the present. If successful commercial operation is achieved, then the modern theories will automatically undergo major revision. But, for the present, when the law of conservation of energy is applied even in quantum processes (neutron decay) and the Lenz's law is considered to be an extension of the energy conservation law as per the conventional physics, space power generation is no better than a hoax for them. For quite some time the opposition from the mainstream physicists will continue; and even if a self running system is made possible, they will call it "an anomaly" rather than retract and be back to the Cartesian space which, as said before, was discredited at the very start of the 20th century [special relativity] and the beginning of the quantum physics based on a misconception of the real nature of the Planck's constant and faulty explanation of the photoelectric effect as concluded in SVT .

There is another adverse impact of modern physical theories on religious and spiritual philosophies that started [18th century] with the transition of the Cartesian philosophy to the Newtonian. Though Descartes and Newton were theists and invoked God for the first motion of the universal space and cosmic matter, in Newtonian empty space how could any reality exist? The very concept of the omnipresent and omnipotent God was killed in Newtonian mechanics based on materialism that posits matter as the final basic reality. [What entity created matter is not known; that "fields" or "energy" created matter is merely giving names, a poor explanation without basic definition to energy. As per SVT, qualitatively speaking: a volume of space (nonmaterial fluid) under acceleration is the most basic form of energy.

Prof. S.J. Prokhovnik, the author of "The Logic of Special Relativity," decades ago, admired me for giving this definition, first time, to energy. Similarly, Electron mass is proportional to the volume of the central void (nothingness) in its space vortex structure, the constant of proportionality is the speed of light relative to the fluid space. And also, electron charge is proportional to the surface of the spherical space enclosing the electron's central void, the constant of proportionality is the speed of light relative to the fluid space. But these definitions make no sense in the contemporary physics because how can there be any fluid reality in an empty (void) space?

And therefore, someone, in the message that you sent the other day, branded my work as a pseudoscience. The present theories cannot explain "mass" and "charge" and why an electron exists eternally (despite interactions with matter) possessing the properties of mass and charge of definite values. What is the genesis of inertia and gravity in the electron structure? The current physics is living with all these obscurities and will continue to live so until spatial reality and spatial interactions are recognized.

To conclude I will say that It is an urgent need to look critically into the modern physical theories and pin point their limitations/ misconceptions to save man from groping in dark with regard to the physical

INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
SANTA BARBARA CALIFORNIA *mm*
«ewtii

TELEPHONE: («OSJ

August 25, 1988

**Mr. Bruce DePalma
DePalma Institute 1060
Channel Drive Santa
Barbara, CA 93108**

Dear Mr. DePalma:

Thank you for your letter of July 22.

I have brought your proposal for a meeting or seminar with members of the Institute to the Principal Investigators who are the responsible members for establishing Institute policy. After having studied the text of your letter and discussing thoroughly the issues involved, they advised me as follows. Since the main thrust of your work concerns the experimental question of the inequality of inertial and gravitational mass in the collision of rotating objects, we strongly believe that such questions can be resolved only through careful experimentation by qualified, independent laboratories throughout the world. Since the ITP is not equipped to carry out experimental studies, I regret that we cannot be helpful in this regard. Publication of your results in the scientific journals and communication with scientists capable of carrying out independent investigations of the above issues would appear to be the most appropriate method of proceeding to resolve the questions that you have raised.

In the absence of substantial independent evidence from qualified laboratories, I sincerely regret that we are not prepared at this point to enter into discussions on these issues.

Sincerely yours,

**Robert Schriefier
' Director**

RS:ds

How can it be explained ?"

Why has not Dr. Wheeler told Dr. Sarfatti or apparently others about this in over 30 years in spite of his independent co-collaboration with Tewari along with DePalma on theoretical and experimental aspects of Tewari's over-unity space Power Generator featured at international conferences since the mid-late 1980's?

The following is Tewari's response to clarification on the nature of his "collaborations" with Dr. Wheeler with his brief opening remark substantiating my claim of Wheeler's observing this key gravity change experiment, followed by his commentary reaction to my recent post about the uncertainty principle paradox in quantum physics, ie, the inability by definition to be certain about uncertainty.

<http://www.depalma.pair.com><http://www.tewari.org><http://www.stardrive.org>

For Wheeler's background see government "black science" websites with clearance, keywords "advanced electromagnetic weapons of mass destruction," and various public praise-ological fluff pieces and "cleared" physics by common search engines. Don't expect to find DePalma and Tewari mentioned together on any sites along with Wheeler's name in the United States in his control or control of "mainstream American pathological science."

It is interesting to note that although Tewari's space Vortex Theory, "coming from perspective of an electrical engineer, and Joseph Newman's "Massergy" theory coming from perspective of a mechanical theory of matter and energy by a self-taught inventor, both theories correctly predicted the "over-unity" electrical energy production from their later built and tested respective different configurations of rotating electromagnetic systems. Both theories postulate in different words and math, yet still similarly describe a fundamental property of matter at subatomic levels as spinning vortices and "rotating massergies" as in electron dynamics. Newman's work is at <http://www.josephnewman.com>

DePalma was familiar with Newman's work also and said he understood how The Newman Energy Machine operated, but as his website says, DePalma's theory is, "that there is no theory," ie, "what you see is what you get," or "seeing is believing."

From: P Tewari <[ptewari_1\(g\).sancharnet.in](mailto:ptewari_1(g).sancharnet.in)>
To: David Crockett Williams <gear2000@lightspeed.net>
Subject: Space vortex theory
Date: Friday, October 03, 2003 11:14 PM

Dear David,

I do remember Bruce DePalma telling me that Dr. Wheeler once witnessed his experiment but did not appreciate it, as you rightly mention in your note.

But DePalma was astonished to read Wheeler's letters to me [1975, 1977, 1985; available at <http://www.tewari.org> These letters were in response to my earlier manuscripts/books that I sent to him in the initial stages of development of my hypothesis on the structure of space and matter . He also sent me in 1981 one of his own papers: "Delayed-Choice Experiments and the Bohr-Einstein Dialog," with a remark on the cover of the booklet: "Many thanks for the copy of your book and every good wish for your continuing research!"

In all my letters to Dr. Wheeler until 1985, I was only informing him about the new space vortex hypothesis that I had formulated and there was no mention of the space power generation, because, I was still to fully confirm through my own practical experiments that the output from a rotating system

1988 Adam Trombly, Colorado Springs

projectearth.com

As this is the last speech of the conference here, I'm going to give an overview of the development of zero point theory and I'm going to try and take into account all of you have been very patient...

The basic principle which we are obviously here to address, was originally elaborated by Nikola Tesla. The sense that Nikola Tesla conveyed of existence was, if not unique, then certainly it was profoundly inspired, not so much by initial analysis but by initial vision.

We have become a rather left brain biased society, an analytically biased society - and as a result of this analytical bias we tend to refute or deny the validity of intuitive jumps or intuitive leaps and insight.

Tesla was an extraordinarily prolific inventor obviously, but in addition to being a prolific inventor, he explored very thoroughly, for his time, the dimension of the psyche. And I think that perhaps too often we tend to forget that he himself claimed that the source of his inspiration was not conventional.

He saw what he built, and then he described it to a draftsman, and built it. The draftsman was his interface with substance. To consider that when he was riding in his carriage or his Pierce Arrow here in Colorado Springs, not to far from where we are right now, he would often see devices in their totality, spontaneously.... is quite remarkable.

When you see something in its totality, it tends to have a different meaning than if you tried to put the pieces of the universe back together to arrive at a conclusion. Tesla insisted that he rested in the conclusion in his own psyche. I think this is very important. Tesla was not an analytical apologist, he was not somebody who made gestures to the scientific community to make himself necessarily acceptable in his time. What was acceptable was that he produced. The means by which he produced were often unacceptable, especially in the last couple of decades.

Therefore, we heard a lot about Thomas Edison, and we heard a lot in our education about just about everybody else except Nikola Tesla. The reason I'm sure this Society exists is this left a vacuum, a huge vacuum that is not merely filled by the acknowledgment of Nikola Tesla, but by the acknowledgment of the function of a human being, the function of a being not being polarized to the left hemisphere, but balanced to the two hemispheres of the brain, in other words vision coupled with analysis.

In the development of our generator, which we originally called the "Acyclic Closed Magnetic Generator," vision was implicitly necessary to arrive at our conclusions. Basically we had to work from very little information. There were very few explorers in the field and we had to begin to consider that perhaps the way we considered reality actually fundamentally incorrect, that fundamental cornerstones such as the law of induction, for example, that particular cornerstone was not necessarily as we believed it to be.

What stimulated me, and I've said this before, since 1980, was the recognition that certain astrophysical phenomena express energies in excess of what the apparent input is. This is a very common thing in astrophysics, whether you're talking about quasi-stellar objects, or whether you're talking about the planet Jupiter.

When we first found out that the planet Jupiter was developing a looped current between itself and the moon Io, Jupiter was called, in a paper published by a Goddard scientist at NASA, a "Homopolar generator." They tried to rationalize that the relative motion between the moon Io and Jupiter was actually responsible for the current that we could measure by virtue of its magnetic flux tube as tested by satellite probe. But when you went through some very simple calculations, you found that was not true.

More on the work of Adam Trombly and Project Earth whose environmental modeling predicted in 1988 the complete destruction of all oceanic phytoplankton by 2008 due to ozone layer depletion, unless serious countermeasures were widely implemented by 1998 including moving away from fossil fuel combustion of oxygen to these so-called "new energy technologies." <http://www.projectearth.com>

India Today

"An engineer's invention excites interest"

by M. Rahman (December 1987)

[2 photos, not shown here, captioned:]

Paramahansa Tewari (top) and the generator: Futuristic Fantasy

In a tiny room in a Bombay suburb, an electrical engineer works on a machine that seems to have been conceived in a Sci-Fi book — a generator which can ostensibly produce electricity from nothing. But the machine's creator, Paramahansa Tewari, 51, is not an eccentric inventor from one of Sukumar Ray's fantastic tales. He is a senior engineer with the Department of Atomic Energy's Nuclear Power Corporation (NPC).

Tewari created a minor sensation 10 years ago when he propounded the theory that space is filled with a dynamic medium whose swirling motion is the source of all matter and energy. He called it the Space Vortex Theory (SVT) which postulated that at the heart of the electron was a void whose high speed rotation within a vacuum could produce energy from space.

Interestingly, it was the Theosophical Society which had first published Tewari's theory by arranging a special lecture in 1977 at Adyar in Madras. The theosophists were excited by Newari's ideas since they were remarkably close to observations about the electron put forward by Annie Basined's associate, the clairvoyant Charles W. Leadbeater, in the book *Occult Chemistry*.

However, the first indication that Newari's ideas about the structure of space were more than just a mystic vision came earlier this year at a conference in Hanover organized by the German Association of Gravity Field Energy. The Space Power Generator (SPG) invented by Tewari won the first prize of RS 25,000 from among 25 similar machines presented at the conference by scientists from all over the world.

Tewari's generator is actually a simple machine, consisting basically of a magnetized cylinder rotating at high speed with the help of a motor. Power from this device is extracted by connecting a wire between the surface of the cylinder and its axis. According to the engineer-inventor, the SPG produces two-and-a-half to three-and-a-half times more power than it consumes, defying the basic physical law of conservation of energy which says that the output of energy cannot be more than the input.

Tewari says the excess power comes from the inter-atomic space of the rotating cylinder — it is the movement of the "voids" in the spinning cylinder which creates additional energy out of the space between the machine's axis and the magnet.

Tewari readily admits that his theory sounds incredible taking into account the existing laws and that he would never have developed it had he been trained as a physicist and not an engineer, since it is so divergent from conventional physics. But, he says, it would have been difficult for him to go on with work on the SVT and the generator were it not for encouragement from two U.S. physicists, Dr. John A. Wheeler of the University of Texas, Austin, and Bruce DePalma, formerly a lecturer in physics at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

"But for DePalma, I wouldn't have been able to tie up my theory," says Tewari. "He was working on similar ideas and kept sending his results to me."

Though Tewari, who is slated for transfer to the NPC's Kaiga Project in Karnataka as chief project engineer, has pursued his interest in physics in his spare time, he has received infra structural support

acknowledge zero point vacuum fluctuation-based technologies, we will all be dead. And that's the sobering realization that I have come to over the last five years.

Buckminster Fuller was a huge influence in my life. I met him when I was sixteen years old and largely because of his influence I wasn't permanently lost in space - lost in the theoretical level of things. And therefore, when I began to encounter this resistance he said something that was very important to me. He said that every new idea, every new technology, every major breakthrough, has an inevitable period of gestation. He said you must learn to be patient. He had experienced profound resistance, as you may know, to various ideas that he had in the thirties.

I think that what we are really seeing is not the resistance or inertia imposed upon this technology, but instead a resistance to a fundamental shift in perception about the Universe itself.

We have tended to describe ourselves in discrete terms, as encapsulated beings, with rather defined boundary layers, both temporally and spatially. We're born and we die. The boundaries of our body are the boundaries of are being. Inspiration has a difficult time entering into a closed bottle. Where would it come from? Where would it appear?

How could Nikola Tesla say he got ideas from space? He was considered a very eccentric and crazy man as a result of his statements. People point out that he always had all these napkins piled up next to his plate. But by the end of his life, people had forgotten that his vision is what is powering these lights. And if we had continued with his vision, we wouldn't have a fossil fuel economy today. And J.P Morgan and Rockefeller and a number of other individuals would not have amassed extraordinary fortunes on the basis of that fossil fuel economy.

I think this is extremely important for us to understand because when Nikola Tesla's vision was denied a part of our own vision was denied. Just as when Galileo's vision was denied. The fact of the matter is that as we sit or stand here, a field of energy pervades us. This even relatively conventional physicists like John Archibald Wheeler stated in a 1962 article in the *Review of Modern Physics*. "Energy has a mass equivalence often to the ninety-fourth power grams per centimeter." You just need to look in the literature. That ninety-fourth power grams per cubic centimeter represents a rather coherent state. It represents something that we could very easily call a continuum.

But because of the taboo against the idea that you might perhaps be able to get water from the well of space, or what people call "perpetual motion," there has not been a sense of any practical application. Once in a seminar, well over a decade ago, I asked a question I found was extraordinarily taboo, and I said, "Why can't we tap into this field?" It had been established in the literature in Europe by Philip Sipolan (sp?) since 1951 and 1952, that not only did the fluctuation field exist, a fluctuation field of extraordinary energy equivalence. And that the vacuum field was biasable, that it was polarizable.

The polarizability of a vacuum, fluctuation background, I believe is the essential issue, and a very simple issue indeed that we need to really consider.

David Deutch in 1982 explored briefly in a book called "General Relativity," on Einstein's centenary, which was edited by Hawking, considered very briefly the fact that not only is the vacuum polarizable in terms of density, but that an ideal theoretical situation density polarization could asymmetrically approach infinite density and asymmetrically approach negative energy density. That means that within the vacuum fluctuation itself, stress can be created. That means that the vortical dynamic that Tewari speaks of is really not that difficult to imagine, because you have fluctuation density that wishes to remain isotropic, or uniformly distributed, disrupted, polarized, in a curved manifold, and that vacuum density once polarized wants to relax from that stress back into a more isotropic state.

Dear David,
This refers to your message, India update, 9/25/2003.
I would love to participate in teleconference on October 1, 2003,
but for the fact that my telephones are invariably disturbed.

I have already sent my comments.

Thanks for your kind considerations to propose my participation in the conference.

Best wishes,
Paramahansa

From: P Tewari <ptewari 1 @sancharnet.in>
To: David Crockett Williams <gear2000(g).lightspeed.net>
Subject: On the space power generator
Date: Saturday, September 27, 2003 7:40 AM

Dear Mr. Williams,

This is further to my earlier report.

While engaged in construction of large nuclear projects, I had the rare privilege to formulate a new theory of space, matter and energy, namely, Space Vortex Theory (SVT), since mid-seventies of the last century, as a spare time research (hobby). The late Bruce Depalma, having been informed about this theory and my books, sent me some details and test results of his own research on rotating magnets: the "N - effect," as he named it. He sent me one of the test results of Adam Trombly's machine as well. My confirmation of the N - Effect came later through my own experiments. These test results showed extra power generation that was in violation of the law of energy conservation [LCE].

I had been looking for a positive experiment to prove the principles and the findings of the SVT and in this pursuit, I took up for experiments several systems of rotating electromagnets with different configurations / layouts of conductors and magnetic field starting since 1985. The results showed clear violations of Lenz's law — considered to be the equivalent to LCE in electrodynamics. The late Dr. Stefan Marinov [reported to have committed suicide due to Nature magazine's persistent refusal to publish his valuable papers / comments on relativity etc.] too had reached similar conclusions on the violation of the Lenz's law through his own experiments.

Another conclusion of SVT pertains to the flow of current in a conductor: Between two co-linear elements of electric current, an attractive force should exist as per SVT. A similar conclusion, unlike Ampere's own measurements, was also reached by Dr. Marinov through his analysis. This effect, unacceptable to contemporary theories, plays a crucial role in the functioning of space power generator [SPG] at over-unity efficiency.

The applicability of LCE was initially found in the processes of mechanics and thermodynamics. That it should be applicable to electrodynamics too, and in fact to all the universal phenomena requires support from a basic theory of space and matter, one that should first explain [and also quantitatively derive] the genesis of mass, inertia, charge, gravity, etc.; i.e., should explain the basic nature of energy; should derive the earth's gravity field; and should explain the structure of the electron and its observed behavior of annihilation, etc.

The contemporary physics has to enlarge its scope to include explanations of the above and many more phenomena of astrophysics, but it can not be done, or rather, it is impossible to progress any further

although he was considered to be a complete heretic when he came out with his theory, every single observation we have made from space with satellite probes, has confirmed his predictions. I think it very important that everybody here who is interested in the reality in which we adhere, become familiar with either the esoteric or the exoteric level of Alfvén's work. It's just beginning to appear in the literature. I think Discover magazine had a rather prosaic presentation of it but it was also quite good. (June '88; the "Big Bang Never Happened.")

If there was not a Big Bang, where things conveniently began with a single event, then we need to begin to consider the fact that something that has a gram equivalence of about a gram per cubic centimeter, which is our body, must be a rather insignificant modification of a field that has a potential often to the ninety-fourth power/grams per cubic centimeter.

This impacts the way in which we live together; it impacts the way in which we live with the Earth itself.

I had not initially planned today to show some slides from the NASA program, but because this is a cap speech at the end of the day, I feel that it might be very useful to digress for a moment and observe the rather catastrophic impact that the very concept of discrete encapsulation has had upon human existence and the Earth itself.

And I would suggest to you, after considerable study of the subject, which is now becoming accepted in the Literature worldwide, that we cannot sustain the dynamic of human existence any longer unless we begin to transcend the arbitrary, subjective boundaries that we presume to be true. Whether these boundaries are about ourselves, or all phenomena of manifest existence, until we begin to move beyond this anal-retentive state, in which everything must be particularized. Everything being particularized, leaves Humpty Dumpty. We will never be able to re-assemble existence. As Fuller pointed out to me at an early age, "existence is already implicitly whole, we break it into parts only in our minds only."

It is already unified whether or not we have a unified field theory or not. And as Einstein suggested at his last series of lectures at the Advanced Institute at Princeton, "perhaps we can only appreciate the unified field by entering a conscious relationship with it."

Again, this is something that would not have been at all contrary to what Nikola Tesla proposed, and yet some people would be embarrassed to say it.

I think we need to very succinctly consider that we cannot continue to burn fossil fuels on this planet, and that we really haven't found anything to do with our nuclear waste.

And that the appearance of bona-fide third party confirmation of the generation of energy from space is a significant event in history. It's not significant because it will make a few men popular, or unpopular. It's not significant because it will somehow create a minor change in our concept of being. It's significant because it represents a dramatic shift that we desperately need to embrace.

Right now we are sitting at the edge of an unprecedented human catastrophe on this planet. A friend of mine, Sayed Sayed (sp?), at Texas A&M who has for twenty years been a climatologist, in an elegant experiment recently carried out in Antarctica, has shown clearly that if we lose between 6% and 7% more of the remaining stratospheric ozone the phytoplankton in the oceans will die.

The phytoplankton in our oceans contribute 50% of the oxygen that we enjoy on this planet. It is extremely important to point out that prior to the appearance of photosynthesizing biomass; oxygen was a trace gas which basically appeared through the natural transitions of H₂O.

going on is a collection of corrupt lawyers in cahoots with corrupt businessmen and politicians who will do anything to maintain their control over fixed resources and then guarantee themselves some sort of income because they are sitting on all of it and we have to have it, and we have to pay for it piece by piece, every gallon, every kilowatt hour of electricity has to be paid for. And the thought that someone might have an independent source of energy in their own home which could disconnect from this, of course is not to be thought at all. It is too unthinkable. And if there is any cognizance paid to this sort of technology it is immediately classified and applied to military instruments; the most modern of which use enormous quantities of electricity. That is: Laser Death Rays, and Ship Propulsion Systems, Space Battle Station Power Systems, Mars Station Power Systems, and on and on...

So from the perspective of seeing things from Australia, I see America as a place where a lid was put on Science, and it started in the late '50's when "Secrecy" started happening and "Classified" conditions exist. And then there were layers and layers of classification and "need to know" and probably only certain "eyes only" committees, and so on... And it all adds up to the fact that Science, which thrives on the free interchange of ideas, is completely stopped in its tracks when no communication is allowed to exist. If this is not bad enough, we are living on a planet which has a collision in process between the number of people it can feed and sustain and the number of people that live here, and something has to be resolved about that. So any technological answers would be very welcome in a world which is going to starve to death, and maybe fight about it in the same way.

By putting a lid on technology and sequestering all the new information to themselves the only focus of it could be on military instruments and the idea of a people powered society non-taxable, uncontrollable, perfectly free group of people who are trying to learn how to live and get along by themselves - this is not a good thing for those planners and economic doctors, lawyers, politician and businessmen, all of whom have it all figured out down to the last stroke, providing the assets are fixed. So the reason I left America is because I think that America has passed the point of no return, as far as its ability to recover itself. Here in Australia the Australians more or less agree that they are thirty years behind the American, but what that means is that they still have a chance to recover themselves if they pursue an independent, non-aligned Energy Policy of their own. So basically what I am suggesting is this: It has gone on for too long now. We have global Energy Networks; Information Hot-lines which have copies of all the Free Energy papers circulating around the globe. This global awareness bypasses the Universities and all the fixed monoliths that stand on the way to progress. And if your readership is really interested in understanding the papers and the experiments and so forth, they shouldn't depend on the local professors to give them any help whatsoever because that whole educational dinosaur of a fixed University is obsolete by the global satellite computer interface University where all information is available instantaneously from somewhere, all you need is a computer and a modem. So people can tune into the Free Energy Network, and I do not have the figures at my fingertips right now, so you certainly could tell your readers about that. People in Australia are establishing a Free Energy Network computer access system where all the papers of all the people can be accessed.

This brings me around to the final point that I wanted to make in this letter, and that is my interest in bringing Free Energy into the world at a time when the world needs it, there's no doubt, there is no question that Free Energy does exist and there is also no doubt and there is also no question that the world is in big trouble. Energy being the source of all of our activities, if we can improve our take on the production of Energy, we would be helping this alot! And I am not just pushing for the N machine - The Rotating Magnet Space Power Generator, because this is only one of a number of different devices and machines which can elicit Free Energy. So what I am basically pushing for over here is a political awareness the Free Energy does exist and that is worthy of a place in the panoply of sciences like everything else, and that a laboratory should be established and open to those interested in this and this exclusively. The object of all this would be to produce power plants for non-polluting, self sustaining future for our planet. So the N machine may not be the "be all and the end all", but it is one of a number of apparatus which has been shown to produce excess electrical output over mechanical input. I think

the watershed to this river, which is the Sao Paulo River, has been almost totally devastated. You can see that evaporation would occur rather rapidly instead of in a moderated sense through the membrane canopy of the forest.

The next slide shows the way clouds look after you do this. By the way, this is the same coordinate almost exactly, taken from the Space Shuttle in 1984, as the slides big billowy beautiful clouds from Skylab ten years earlier. What you see beneath this cloudbank is now desert. So the cloud building is no longer healthy. Instead of that nice kind of veil of water vapor, you see an extraordinary, Los Angeles scale, optical density. That's dust.

Dust that has been lifted and aerosolized and now remains in chronic suspension over much of the African continent. The clouds are flat. The convective, humid currents that rose from the rain forest no longer carry water vapor in significant quantities.

There was a great effort, a joint effort of the United Nations and several other countries to seed what clouds remain to see if the hydraulic cycle could be restored. Unfortunately somebody forgot to plant anything under the seeded clouds, so the desert is now growing. The Sahara desert is now growing six miles per year and is three thousand mile across. This is significant.

Next slide please. This is to give you an idea of the scale. We are not looking at the desert floor here. We are looking at a pall of dust that stretches as far as the eye can see, to the curvature of the Earth. Twenty-five maybe twenty-eight thousand square miles here. This area all used to be called the sub-Sahara and now is moving into the Sahara. Flat clouds, no rain.

The next slide will show you conclusively that when you see breaks in the pattern, you have a deeper understanding of the optical density. This density is equivalent to a critical day in Los Angeles. This again is chronic and has serious ramifications for us on this continent. Serious ramifications.

This has happened because somebody denied vision. This has happened because when in 1906 Nikola Tesla said that fossil fuels would one day create a corruption in the entire atmosphere of the Earth, he was called eccentric. Next slide please.

South of the aridification process, in Zaire we have fires. These fires are burning out of control. They have no planes to drop chemicals on the fires. They have no fire departments. They have no money. This is a small area, only a hundred by a hundred miles. You can see where the forest that was once there has already been striped away, and the hydraulic cycle therefore undermined.

The next picture is Angola burning. The CIA did not win the war in Angola, fire did. 13,000,000 acres burned in 1985. When NASA scientists examined this photograph, they thought that this was some sort of strange cloud until they realized it was the combination of the plumes of smoke from the fires. Just consider the area involved, and consider the fact that this is now being visited on our Country.

Alaska, in the last three weeks has lost 750,000 acres to fire. The Secretary of the Interior, Hodel said, "Let Nature take its course." They saved part of Yellowstone that was close to Old Faithful, but decided that the rest of the wildlife habitat was expendable.

And this while we are spending hundreds of millions of dollars producing neutron bombs and other clean kill weapons, which can never be used and God forbid that they ever should be.

The next slide please. This is Junguoy (sp?) Bay on the coast of Madagascar, and it is not uncharacteristic of bays all over the world now. This is what happens after the deforestation and after the fires. This bay was over six hundred feet deep twenty years ago. Now you can walk across it during the dry season it is so filled with silt. This is happening now, today. It is not theoretical. We have to move now.

Thank you for the Email of the two faxes you received. I am not surprised to see the big boys are at work. The handwriting is on the wall. I had read some of Ehrenhaft's work in the past. It shows we don't know all there is to know about light, magnetism and electricity. My theory is that there is no theory. All we know is what we know from experience. My advice to Joe is to go where he might be appreciated. Where he is going and where America is heading are two separate directions.

If you need anything from me don't hesitate to ask.

Best wishes,

Bruce DePalma

N.B. God is in charge of this world. The truth is only for those who's ears hear the truth and who's eyes see the truth.

An "Open Letter" from Bruce DePalma
to Space Energy Association Newsletter:

EDITORIAL

7 September 1992

From:

Bruce DePalma

c/o Unlimited Vision

P.O. Box 248 Mullumbimby, N.S.W.

2482 Australia

FAX #61 (66)858-274

To:

Space Energy Association - SEA/US

Alternate Energy Systems

c/o Don Kelly

P.O. Box 11422 Clearwater, FL U.S.A. 34616

FAX# 1 (813)442-3923

Dear Don,

This an open letter which you may publish in your Space Energy Journal. I very much appreciate all the coverage you have given the N machine in recent issues, and having been out of the United States now for five months and I can look back at America with somewhat clearer vision than I could see things from being in the midst of them while I was there.

Recently I was lecturing at John Hopkins, which is in itself sort of a miracle, about zero point physics and while we were considering zero point physics somebody said, "Well this is all well and good that mister Tewari did this over in India, and that it's all well and good that you've done this in the United States - DePalma, it's all well and good to demonstrate it, but what about the United States government?"

"I mean wouldn't the United States government be doing something?" the child asked. A sixty-two year old child, a very nice man actually. And I said all you need to do is get a hold of the 1986 fiscal year "Request for Proposals" published by the Department of Defense.

Look on page 193 of that document and you will see something very interesting. In AF section, which is Air Force section 86-77 subsection 6, you will see that a government which denies the reality of zero point technologies is requesting "further research into esoteric energies heretofore unknown including the zero point dynamic fluctuations of Space." ... for propulsion. But it doesn't exist you see...

But we want you to research it if you have a bona fide organization that happens to be a prime contractor with triple security clearance. This for propulsion for the Air Force when the entire human race is threatened. Now there is a certain kind of insanity somewhere implicit in that. It's in the literature, you can order a copy from Project Earth, or call the Pentagon. This is not a classified document. I won't get in trouble for saying anything. This is actually a program that is ongoing, right now, today, within the government enclave. Call Los Alamos and ask a question about it and you might get a very long silence on the other end. Call Lawrence Livermore Laboratories, their Aberdeen Testing grounds. The same phenomenon will probably occur.

A significant amount of funding is going to make sure that this irrelevant, mythical phenomena is applied to weapons systems and weapons carrying systems. Something is very wrong about that. I don't believe that anybody sitting in this room would say that it's in the best interest of our people, or any people of the world, for this kind of technology to be applied outside the realm of civilian application at such a time.

Six to seven percent further depletion in stratospheric ozone and we are seeing depletion rate trends that indicate that this level of loss will occur very easily within the next two decades. Those of us who have really considered this, and as you consider it more and more I'm sure you'll realize that two decades pass very quickly, for all of us. It doesn't give us time, as I said before for subjectivity.

This field has survived, but not on the basis of being acceptable in the literature. Tewari has tried to publish. I know the IEEE (International Electrical and Electronic Engineers) is involved in this conference, but Tewari tried to publish in IEEE and was summarily rejected. Many of us have tried to publish and have been rejected. The reason Tewari even bothered to try to duplicate this "Acyclic Closed Magnetic Generator," after years of correspondence with DePalma, was because he was able to convince some mechanical engineers that it had some engineering method. You see they actually did material stress analysis.

We also analyzed. We used Beryllium copper for a reason. It's just engineering. There are a lot of you in this room who are perfectly capable of doing it. There was nothing magical. We just operated on a different presumption. We said, "Maybe this experiment will work." And if it doesn't work, well then it's like 88% of the rest of them. If it does work, heh, then there is another level of confirmation.

Robert Kinchloe, Professor Emeritus of Stanford University, went to visit the, how can we call it, the encumbered "Sunburst Machine." This machine was originally developed by DePalma, Richardson and Bernard at Sunburst Farms, Santa Barbara, California. Dr. Kinchloe just out of curiosity of his own mind, decided that he would just see if there was something about it that was unusual. He presented a paper on

One of the early devices DePalma used to observe these effects consisted of an apparatus that he called the, "Force Machine," which consisted of two counter-rotating gyroscopes described in "The Generation of a Unidirectional Force." April 22, 1974. <http://depalma.pair.com/GenerationofUnidirectionalForce.html>

The archetypical gravitational engine or Force machine is a combination of two counter-rotating gyroscopes with axles parallel and rotors co-planer. Constructed in 1971, the machine weighed 276 pounds. The assembly was suspended from a spring scale and the gyroscopes driven counter rotating to each other at 7600 rpm. Under these circumstances, the support cylinder was then rotated itself at 4 rpm to precess the two gyros. Precisely accurate measurements, consistently demonstrated 4-6 pounds of weight loss.

A variation of this device also described in this paper as the "Linear Force Machine," provided enough propulsion or "force against space itself or "space drive" effect to propel someone across the room on a small cart.

In another experiment showing the properties of an "inertial field" created by the proximity of a rotating object, the frequency of a tuning fork in an Accutron watch is changed by this field effect as demonstrated by the variation in the time shown on the watch. <http://depalma.pair.com/Absurdity/Absurdity09/NatureOfelectricalInduction.html>

The results of the Spinning Ball Experiment were published in the British Scientific Research Association Journal in 1976. This experiment was also outlined personally by DePalma to Dr. Edward Purcell, one of the most eminent experimental physicists from Harvard at that time. According to DePalma, Purcell, after contemplating the experiment for several minutes, remarked "This will change everything."

Also, Adam Trombly was at this same time being denied the ability to Patent his electromagnetic version of a homopolar generator by the United States Patent office. Their statements were to the effect that this particular configuration of a generator was, "implausible to the extreme." Others in the field were being threatened with a document referred to as Title 35, which is a cease and desist order related to national security and secrecy. This is a real document and it is very intimidating to be threatened by it - prison and fines.

Paramahansa Tewari, which much faith had been placed as a third party confirmation, was getting press but people were prejudice about the confirmations coming from India. This even though he was a senior governmental scientist, and the Director of a Nuclear Power plant at the time.

While the "N" effect was being validated elsewhere around the world, in United States the lack of support had led DePalma to consider offers of support from outside the country. The basic ability to feed and house himself became the constant primary concern, and something had to change for the sake of the research and physical well being of the inventor.

After meeting with everyone from the head of the Institute of Theoretical Physics in Santa Barbara, to the principles of Northern Pacific Railroad, no one would risk investment in DePalma. It revolved around two positions. One was the problem that no other physicists would agree that it was possible to extract electricity directly from a magnet, and two, every investor actually capable of producing the technology was hopelessly invested in industry which would be hugely negatively impacted by the development of an alternative energy source.

George Bush the First then invaded Kuwait, and DePalma could see the Future. Perpetual war for Peace and OIL. The Country to which he had been born, now looked to him like someplace unfamiliar. His principle financial supporter had been Court ordered, on instructions from the supporter's family, not to give him any more money.

DePalma left for Australia the night of the largest racial riot in Los Angeles's history.

So I would like to open the floor to questions....

"...I raised a question in another seminar about the oxygen depletion, and the speaker told me that even if we were to kill all the forests at once it would take a very long time for the oxygen to dissipate out of the atmosphere...."

We are talking about a change in the mixture of gases, okay? There are people who say that even if you combusted all the carbon on the Earth, biomass and post-biomass, the oil reserves, everything, there would still 75%) of the oxygen in the atmosphere. Unfortunately, they fail to take certain things into account. For example:

Today we sit, and if you knew what we went through to get this acknowledged in the world press (And it would take me an hour to tell you), but we now have it publicly acknowledged by NASA that we have between a 2.3% and 6.6%> depletion in world wide atmospheric ozone depending on latitude and time of year. This not taking into account the rather large depression over Antarctica.

When this level of ozone depletion occurs, the level of Ultra-violet influx increases the probability of Photosynthesis in the lower atmosphere. So, the O₂ is preferentially converted into H₂O₃ for example. This would normally only appear in great quantities after a lightning storm in the past.

We have a lot of H₂O₃ in the atmosphere. We have a lot of O₃ in the Troposphere, which until very recently was being attributed to internal combustion. But O₃ was appearing in large quantities in rural areas where there was very little internal combustion, relatively speaking. It became an embarrassment when the Department of Agriculture had to admit that 2.6 Billion worth of crops were being destroyed per year by ozone alone.

So the photosynthetic reaction that is occurring as the result of increased Ultra-violet influx must be taken into account if we are going to begin to understand what is going to be sustainable in terms of oxygen tensions. It is the mixture of gases that rise from the Biosphere through the Troposphere and into the stratosphere, that determines the tension of ozone, O₃.

The Nimbus satellite, by the way which NASA has conveniently said is out of calibration even though it was in fine calibration last September, and in almost perfect agreement with the instrumentation on the ground in Antarctica. Which by the way at the center of the Antarctic hole, six miles up, we had 96%> depletion levels last year. (1987) The jumpsuits worn by some of my friends from the Center for Atmospheric Research, please understand there are a lot of good people there, people there I respect a lot, their nylon jumpsuits were actually degraded by Ultra-violet exposure in just one flight. Several people had serious eye injuries.

Now anybody who is going to suggest that this type of influx is not going to effect the species of molecules that we have in the atmosphere, and the mixture of those gases, is denying an extremely important fact. So whereas I'm not suggesting we're all going to die of anoxia, what's going to happen when the phytoplankton in the oceans die? They are the basis of the entire oceanic food chain. If you are not familiar with them, they are the little critters that actually made all this possible. This conference would not be occurring today without their sponsorship.

I think we need to realize that we are sponsored by living things, and we need to support them so they can support us. Does that answer your question?

Yes, Thanks.

It occurs to me that the resurrection of Nikola Tesla's vision and other people who have had the vision of

Please visit these most interesting sites

Thus, he reasoned, the metal of the magnet moves through its own field, and the relative motion is translated into electrical potential.

Faraday's experiments led him to the revolutionary conclusion that a magnetic field is a property of space itself, not something attached to the magnet, which merely serves to induce or evoke the field.

Known for over 150 years, the Faraday homopolar generator, as his contraption is called, has been viewed by a handful of visionary inventors as a basis for evoking the free energy latent in space. They see it as the prototype for a generator capable of providing its own motive power with additional energy to spare. When the world embraced Faraday's two piece induction generator, whose drawbacks include mechanical friction and electrical losses, the enormous potential of the Faraday homopolar generator was abandoned, in the opinion of free-energy proponents.

Following in Faraday's footsteps, DePalma in 1978 speculated that free energy could be tapped from the matrix of space simply by magnetizing a gyroscope. "I reasoned that the metal of the magnetized gyroscope moving through its own magnetic field, when rotated would produce an electrical potential between the axle and the outer edge of the rotating magnetized flywheel."

This insight led to his N machine, essentially a one piece rotating magnetized flywheel, instead of having a rotor and a stator, as do conventional generators, the N machine only has a rotor. Half of the flywheel is the north pole, the other half is the south pole. One electrical contact is put on the axle, another contact is placed on the outer edge of the gyroscope, and presto, electricity is taken directly out of the magnet itself.

For 150 years after Faraday's controversial experiment, no one bothered to see whether or not a rotating magnet generator would have to do the same amount of work as a conventional induction generator in order to produce an identical power output. Then, in 1978, the Sunburst homopolar generator was built. Testing determined that its output power exceeded the input needed to run the machine, that it was significantly more efficient than an induction generator.

Opinions differ as to the exact mechanisms by which the N machine generates energy. In 1977 Tewari created a minor sensation when he put forth the theory that space is filled with a dynamic medium whose swirling motion is the source of all matter and energy. In his Space Vortex Theory, more fully developed in his 1984 book, *Beyond Matter*, the Indian engineer inventor postulated that a void lies at the heart of the electron—a void whose high speed rotation within a vacuum could produce energy from space. Tewari's theory is based on the assumption that the electron has a definite structure, and is not just a homogeneous "droplet of charge."

According to Tewari, the movement of "voids" in the spinning magnetized cylinder of his Space Power Generator liberates free energy out of the space between the machine's axis and the magnet. He readily admits that this sounds incredible, by the yardstick of known laws of physics. Tewari says he never would have developed his theory had he been trained as a physicist rather than as an engineer, since his ideas differ so radically from conventional physics.


"Tewari's explanation is perfectly possible," comments DePalma. "He is attempting to conceptualize what's happening between the atoms and where the energy is liberated."

"My own approach," continues DePalma, "is that space is all around us like the sea of water the fish swim in. The only way you know it's there is to distort it in some way, and the simplest way to distort space is with a magnet." DePalma maintains that his own conception of magnetism as a distortion of a pre-existent homogeneous field is, "the first new thought on the fundamental nature of magnetism since Oersted." For example, modern science says that energy is a constant substance in the universe, and that the conversion of energy from one form to another will lead to the heat-death of the universe eons from now. In contrast, DePalma says, "My cosmos is an open-ended universe, one in which energy can be evoked from space itself. All energy comes from space," he maintains, "and there are various processes which can release this energy, the simplest of which is lighting a match or rubbing two sticks together."

BruceDePalma.com
Depalma.pair.com
Projectearth.com
Tewari.org
Rexresearch.com
Infinite-energy.com
Padrak.com/ine

Educate-yourself.org
Nexusmagazine.com
Cheniere.org

Peswiki.com



Physicist Bruce DePalma has a 100 kilowatt generator, which he invented, sitting in his garage. Educated at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Harvard, he claims that his electrical generator can provide a cheap, inexhaustible, self sustaining and non-polluting source of energy, using principles that flout conventional physics and are still not fully understood. His N machine, as it is called, is said to release the **"free energy"** latent in the space all around us. DePalma views his device as an innovation that could help to end the world's dangerous dependence on supplies of oil, gas, and other polluting fuels. See BruceDePalma.com

The DePalma generator is essentially a simple magnetized flywheel. His astonishing claim is that the present version of the N machine can generate up to five times more power than it consumes. This, of course, defies the basic law of the conservation of energy, which says that the output of energy cannot be more than the input. Most physicists simply refuse to look at DePalma's findings and dismiss his theories out of hand.

Yet "proof of principle" for his invention was apparently provided when a large N machine, dubbed the Sunburst, was built in 1978 in Santa Barbara California. The Sunburst machine was independently tested by Dr. Robert Kincheloe, professor emeritus of electrical engineering at Stanford University. In his 1986 report (presented to the Society for Scientific Exploration, San Francisco, 6/21/86), Kincheloe noted that the drag of the rotating magnetized gyroscope is only 13 to 20 percent of a conventional generator operating at an ideal 100 percent efficiency. The DePalma N machine therefore could produce electricity at around 500 percent efficiency.

In Kincheloe's cautious summary: "DePalma may have been right in that there is indeed a situation here whereby energy is being obtained from a previously unknown and unexplained source. This is a conclusion that most scientists and engineers would reject out of hand as being a violation of accepted laws of physics and if true has incredible implications."

"The jury is still out on the DePalma N machine," says physicist Harold Puthoff, a senior fellow at the Institute for Advanced Studies in Austin, Texas. "It isn't clear where the reported excess energy is coming from - whether out of the electromagnetic field or as the result of some anomaly associated with rotating bodies in terms of inertia. The DePalma machine needs to be replicated on a broad scale to see if it actually works. Though I'm rather skeptical, I certainly would encourage independent laboratory experimentation. While such a phenomenon would have seemed to absolutely go against the law of energy conservation a number of years ago, we now recognize that the potential for extracting energy out of so called empty space is in fact a reality."

Dr. Puthoff believes that a new, non-polluting energy source may be achieved by tapping the force of random fluctuations of jostling atomic particles within a vacuum. "We now know that empty space is filled with what are called vacuum fluctuations: huge amounts of energy that suddenly burst forth from the nothingness of space. Zero Point Energy is the general term applied to the theories that attempt to explain the concept of tapping into the abundant power available directly from the vacuum of space itself.